CONTENTS

List of Illustrations vii
Foreword ix
Elizabeth Pike
Acknowledgements xiii
Contributors xv

1. Introduction 1
Kim Power and Carol Hogan

2. Eucharistic Metamorphosis: Changing Symbol, Changing Lives 10
Carol Hogan

3. The Sunday Eucharist: Embodying Christ in a Prophetic Act 31
Carmel Pilcher

4. How Australian Aboriginal Christian Womanist Tiddas (Sisters) Theologians Celebrate the Eucharist 54
Lee Miene Skye

5. Women, Eucharist, and Good News to All Creation in Mark 78
Elizabeth Dowling and Veronica Lawson

6. Rediscovering Forgotten Features: Scripture, Tradition and Whose Feet May Be Washed on Holy Thursday Night 91
Kathleen P. Rushton
7. Mystery Appropriated: Disembodied Eucharist and Meta-theology 113
   Frances Gray

8. Real Presence: Seeing, Touching, Tasting: Visualizing the Eucharist in Late Medieval Art 130
   Claire Renkin

9. Embodying the Eucharist 152
   Kim Power

10. Living One for the Other: Eucharistic Hospitality as Ecological Hospitality 186
    Anne Elvey

    Subject Index 207
    Name Index 215
Chapter 9

EMBODYING THE EUCHARIST

Kim Power

Part I: Introducing the Christa

Since the earliest days of the church, childbirth has been used as a metaphor for Eucharist. In Christ’s farewell discourse at the Last Supper (Jn 16.21 cf. 19.24), Christ’s coming passion, death and resurrection are compared to a woman giving birth, thereby placing Christ in the prophetic tradition that compared the coming of the Kingdom (and also the Day of the Lord) to a woman in labour (Isa. 42.12–16, 66.7–14; Jer. 50.43–46; Mic. 5.3; Hos. 13.11–14; Gal. 4.19–20; Mt. 24.7–8; Jn 3.1–8). This is in keeping with Job’s use of childbirth as a metaphor for Creation (Job 38.8). Indeed, this metaphor is over determined as revelatory of the sacred, for the prophets also compared God’s election of Israel, and later, God’s suffering in bringing Israel to conversion, to a woman giving birth and then carrying her babe through the desert (Jer. 6.24b; cf. 30.21; Isa. 42.14, esp. 42.3, 66.13). The early Church Fathers maintained this tradition as the foundation for their eucharistic theology. For them, when Christ’s side gushed with the fluids of birthing—blood and water—then Genesis was recapitulated as Christ gave birth to a redeemed creation, simultaneously creating and inseminating his Bride, the Church. In this dispensation Jesus becomes the New Adam and Mary, the New Eve, through whom Adam and Eve’s sin is graciously redeemed.¹ This conflation of Eucharist and Baptism

¹. All the Church Fathers followed Irenaeus, who was the first to systematize Paul’s metaphor of Christ as the second Adam in the doctrine of recapitulation. Irenaeus, Against Heresy, 3.21.10; 5.2.3; 5.19.1, Sources Chrétiennes (SC) vol. 34, ed. F. Sagnard, pp. 152–53, and vol. 100, ed. A. Rousseau, B. Hemmerdinger, C. Mercier, L. Doutreleau, pp. 263–64, 293–94 (Paris:
So, are the Christa images proliferating in contemporary fine arts an obscene modern fad or a new leading of the Spirit, challenging old certainties that assert a false obscenity against her?

The generic commodification of women’s bodies (which finds its nadir in the pornographic Christa) evokes John Paul II’s caveat that only ‘genuine art’ constitutes revelation. How can we know if the Christa is genuine art? Certainly, the Senate, faculty, and students of the University of Toronto decided Almuth Lutkenhaus-Lackey’s sculpture, Crucified Woman, to be so. Furthermore, female crucifixions have been painted and sculpted by artists of the calibre of Hieronymus Bosch, Arnulf Rainer (see fig. 9.1, below, The Wine Crucifix), Edwina Sandys, Arthur Boyd (see fig. 9.3, Crucifixion, Shoalhaven 1979–80), Martina Hoffmann (see fig. 9.2 below, Female Crucifixion), Susan Dorothea White, Darinka Mircovich and Eric Drooker (see fig. 9.4 below Crucifixion)—all internationally recognized artists, though I would wish to argue that creations from outside this select company are not necessarily artistically illegitimate. Margaret Argyle’s exquisite Bosnian Christa and James M. Murphy’s Christine on the Cross are cases in point. It is also significant that several of

24. Dyke fully describes the discernment process at the University of Toronto, when it was proposed that this sculpture be installed at Emmanuel College in Crucified Woman, pp. 10–24. The Senate’s resolutions are cited, pp. 16–18. My photos of this sculpture can be found at http://picasaweb.google.com/drdragonlady/Canada1#


26. The image is accessible online at the Brooklyn Museum. See www.brooklynmuseum.org/easca/feminist_art_base/gallery/EdwinaSandys.php?i=2206

27. Susan Dorothea White’s paintings on this theme also include a Last Supper, where an Australian Indigenous Woman represents Christ, a Crowning with Thorns and a Crucifixion, where Marilyn Monroe represents Christ. The latter is a detail in The Seven Deadly Isms. All can be viewed on her website, ‘Susanwhite’ www.susanwhite.com.au/artworks_painting.html


also been exploited to critique politics,\textsuperscript{65}\ sexism,\textsuperscript{66}\ and even to protest against Christianity when it is seen to add to women's suffering.\textsuperscript{67}\ These categories are not exclusive but, as the genre is flourishing, in this essay I shall keep my focus on the Johannine symbol of the Passion—childbirth.

\textit{Arnulf Rainer's The Wine Crucifix (Figure 9.1)}

One of the earliest contemporary paintings that might stand as a precursor to the \textit{Christa} is Arnulf Rainer's painting, \textit{The Wine Crucifix}. Begun in 1959, Rainer originally created it as an altarpiece for the Catholic University in Graz. It was hung in front of a chapel window, where the light rendered the layers of paint translucent, highlighting the cross beneath them. After the 1959 congregation repudiated the painting, it was removed, to later find a home at the Tate Gallery.\textsuperscript{68}\n
A crucifixion pregnant with meaning, it offers a subtle and ambiguous treatment of the theme. On a white canvas, an empty cross is deeply shadowed against a red background that flows and


\textsuperscript{67}\ The Art in Defence of Humanism Project, \textit{In the Name of God}, falls into this category. Jens Galschiot created four crosses bearing sculptures of pregnant teenagers. These were paraded through the streets to protest many churches' attitudes to contraception and sexual education. For details of the countries where the images have travelled and gallery of images, see, www.aidoh.dk/?categoryID=187

\textsuperscript{68}\ After its rejection by the congregation, Rainer eventually recovered it and continued working on it until 1978, when it went to the Tate Gallery, though Rainer believes it belongs in a church. The painting is easily accessible online. The thumbnail is on the Gallery site with a note at www.tate.org.uk/modern/tatettracks/yourtatettrack/entry.do?_flowExecutionKey=_c6311CCD9-6E1B-700B-611F-7A02876AFE31_k7958EA6C-A2A2-9648-BEBB-1A3FC335D2B7